SEP 1 2 2005 W

ATTY DOCKET NO. MOD013/145573

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	In re Application of:	Wybro et al.				
	Serial No.:	10/788,771	Group No.:	3617		
	Date Filed:	02/27/2004				
	For: Riser Pipe Supp	ort System and Method	Examiner:	Swinehart, Edwin L.		
	REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) 1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application. NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination. "(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of: (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted; (2) Abandonment of the application; or (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S. C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U. S. C. 745 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated. (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.					
	CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.) I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this Request for Continued Examination (6 pages) and Response to Office Action (10 pages) are being:					
		MAILING				
	deposited with the United VA 22313-1450	States Postal Service in an envelope a	ddressed to the Comm	issioner for Patents, Alexandria,		
09/14/2005	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) with sufficient postage DTESSEM1 00000045 500897 107	e as first class mail.	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 as "Express Ma Mailing Label No.	il Post Office to Addressee"		
01 FC:1801 02 FC:1201	790.00 DA 200-120s mile transmitted t	TRANSMISSIO o the Patent and Trademark Office, (70	on Oco / fi gnature	rnande		
	Date: September 8, 2005		Coco Hernandez			

- * Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 7.703(0. Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" f§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 7.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.
- (c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written *description*, *claims*, *or drawings*, new arguments, or new *evidence in* support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.1-11.
- (d) if an applicant timely fifes a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request far continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1,193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."
- NOTE: An applicant may fife a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d]. The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.
- NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.
- WARNING: 35 U. S. C. 132 (b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.
- WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 *U.S.C. II1(a) before June* 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 *U.S.* C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § IA 14(e).
- WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C._ 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)Cjr]. Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091--50105, at page 50104 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13-24
- WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).
- WARNING. The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the office action) to avoid abandonment of the application.

If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission in reply to the prior Office action) within the period far reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § I- 103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091-50105, at page 50102 (comment 20), OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13-241 Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE. Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request can utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(6)(2)(r)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ ____790 TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE This request is being submitted {check appropriate items} below): 2. \square Prior to abandonment of the application i. Payment of the issue fee ii. Prior to payment of the issue fee Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted Prior to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences iii. A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed. NOTE: if such a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing of the RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 7.114. Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 145 or iv. 🗆 ☐ Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 146 Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated ENCLOSURES 3. Enclosed herewith is/are: WARNING: If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.117. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b). An information disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98) Form PTO-1449 (PTOISB108A and 08B) An amendment New Arguments New Evidence in support of patentability \square Other: An Amendment

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ _____

HOU:2495429.1 -3-

FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

		FEE FOR K	EQUEST (37 C.1	y 1.17(c)).		
4.	This ap	oplication is on behalf of:				
)0)0
		F	FEE FOR CLAIN	4S		
NOTE: "The fee for continued examination under § 1.114 (§ 1.17(e)) does not include additional claims fee (cf. 1.5 See Notice of March 10, 2004, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.					e (cf. 1.53 (d){3) (k	
	37 CFR 1,53(d)(3): "The filing fee for a continued prosecution application filed under this paragraph is:					
		(i) The basic filing fee as set forth in	n § 1.16; and			
		Any additional § 7.16 fee due <i>bas</i> amendment accompanying the amendments under § 1.116 unente the continued prosecution applicat	request for an ap ered in the prior app	plication under	this paragrap	oh and entry of
•	The fe	e for claims (37 C.F.R. § 1.16	(b)-(d)) has been	n calculated a	s shown below	v:
Claims Remaining After Amendment			Highest No. Previously Paid For	Extra Present	Rate	Added Fee
Total: 35			43	0	\$25/50	\$0
Independent: 5			4	0	\$100/200	\$200
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claims: \$180/360			\$0			
				Total Addi	tional Fees:	\$200
 VARNI	NG: See	37 C. FR. § 1.116.				
			h) as annliaghl	(a)		
		(complete (a) or (l	o), as applicavi	e)		
ı)		No additional fee is required	l.			
R						
o)		Total additional fee required	l is \$ <u>200.00</u>			

HOU:2495429.1 -4-

EXTENSION OF TIME

(If an extension of time is appropriate complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

6.	The proceedings herein are for a patent application, and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 130(a) apply.

37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) "...an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such ee n,

adjusti months objecti	ment set forth in § l after the date of on, argument, or ot	, 703 shall be reduc mailing or transn her request and endin	ce or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of ed by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three nission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, g on the date the reply was filed. the period, or shortened statutory period, for as no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."
(a) (4), fo		etitions for an ext	ension of time, the fees for which are set out in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-ed below:
Extens	sion for (months)	Large Entity	Small Entity
	one month	\$ 12.00	\$ 60.00
	two months	\$ 450.00	\$ 225.00
	three months	\$1,020.00	\$ 510.00
	four months	\$1,590.00	\$ 795.00
	five months	\$2,160.00	\$1,080.00
		Fee: \$	0
C	☐ An extension	(check ar	has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ is or the total months of extension now requested.
			Extension fee due with this request \$
			OR
(b) 🗹	authorization	to pay the neces	ension of time is required. However, this is a conditional petition and sary fees to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently on and fee for extension of time.
			TOTAL FEE(S) DUE
WARNI	NG. The fee for c	ontinued examination	under § 1.114 may not be deferred.
7.	The total fee(s)	due is/are:	
	Fee(s) for addition	onal claims (if any	(e))
			Total Fee(s) Due\$ 990